To: Ostrander, David[Ostrander.David@epa.gov]; Hestmark, Martin[Hestmark.Martin@epa.gov]

Cc: Murray, Bill[Murray.Bill@epa.gov]; McGrath, Shaun[McGrath.Shaun@epa.gov]; Card,

Joan[Card.Joan@epa.gov]; Wharton, Steve[Wharton.Steve@epa.gov]

From: Peterson, Cynthia

Sent: Mon 10/26/2015 7:25:23 PM

Subject: RE: Upper Animas/Cement Creek Water Quality

All,

I had a hard time hearing the conversation this morning. Who is following up on this? Is OPA engaged?

Cynthia Peterson

Community Involvement Coordinator

Public Affairs and Community Involvement

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII

1595 Wynkoop St. (8OC-PAI)

Denver, CO 80202-1129

303-312-6879 -- direct dial

From: Ostrander, David

Sent: Monday, October 26, 2015 1:23 PM

To: Hestmark, Martin

Cc: Murray, Bill; McGrath, Shaun; Card, Joan; Peterson, Cynthia; Wharton, Steve

Subject: Re: Upper Animas/Cement Creek Water Quality

Yes. We discussed at GKM meeting.

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 26, 2015, at 1:19 PM, Hestmark, Martin < Hestmark. Martin@epa.gov > wrote:

Do we need to clarify our suggested endorsement?

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 26, 2015, at 10:23 AM, Murray, Bill < Murray.Bill@epa.gov > wrote:

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "[BOYD COMPANY]Gregory Sparks" <g-sparks@jtboyd.com>

Date: October 26, 2015 at 10:03:51 AM MDT

To: "jromeo@durangoherald.com" < jromeo@durangoherald.com>

Cc: "Jorge Delgado@gardner.senate.gov"

<Jorge_Delgado@gardner.senate.gov>, "John_Whitney@bennet.senate.gov"

< <u>John_Whitney@bennet.senate.gov</u>>, "Marcus, Darlene"

<<u>Darlene.Marcus@mail.house.gov</u>>, Larry Perino <<u>Larry.Perino@kinross.com</u>>,

"deanbrookie@durangogov.org" < deanbrookie@durangogov.org>, Ginny

Brannon - DNR < ginny.brannon@state.co.us >, "Stover - DNR, Bruce"

bruce.stover@state.co.us>, "patrick.j.pfaltzgraff@state.co.us"

<patrick.j.pfaltzgraff@state.co.us>, "doug.jamison@state.co.us"

< doug.jamison@state.co.us>, "bgardner@silverton.co.us"

<<u>bgardner@silverton.co.us</u>>, "<u>wsimon@frontier.net</u>" <<u>wsimon@frontier.net</u>>,

"bocc@sanjuancountycolorado.us" <bocc@sanjuancountycolorado.us>,

"brad.blake@co.laplata.co.us" < brad.blake@co.laplata.co.us>,

"julie.westendorff@co.laplata.co.us" < julie.westendorff@co.laplata.co.us >,

"gwen.lachelt@co.laplata.co.us" < gwen.lachelt@co.laplata.co.us>,

"troberts@fmtn.org" < troberts@fmtn.org>, "jmietchen@southernute-nsn.gov"

<imietchen@southernute-nsn.gov>, "murray.bill@epa.gov"

<murray.bill@epa.gov>, "hestmark.martin@epa.gov"

<hestmark.martin@epa.gov>, "ostrander.david@epa.gov"

< ostrander.david@epa.gov>, "way.steven@epa.gov" < way.steven@epa.gov>,

"dyoung@keystone.org" <dyoung@keystone.org>

Subject: Upper Animas/Cement Creek Water Quality

<image001.gif>

Hello Jonathan,

In conjunction with your recent article in the DH regarding Kinross's offer of \$10 million toward treatment water in the Upper Animas Basin to improve water quality, I thought you may be interested in the attached presentation. I was formerly Vice President and General Manager of the Sunnyside Mine many years ago, so am very familiar with the hydrology of the area. I now work for the John T. Boyd Company (BOYD), an international mining, geological, and technical

consulting group as Managing Director – Metals. BOYD has prepared a strategic plan to permanently restore water quality in the Upper Animas River, which is primarily affected by the Cement Creek Drainage. This plan is comprehensive in scope, highly cost-effective, and can be implemented promptly.

We have presented this strategy to EPA, state and local government from both Colorado and New Mexico, Animas River Stakeholders, and interested private citizens. All, including EPA believe the strategy represents the best way forward ultimately. The issue is EPA (at least provisionally) believes, that the only alternative to effect the plan would be in the context of CERCLA (Superfund Designation). Under this process, it would take several years to move through the National Priorities List to be designated a Superfund Site before work could even begin on a permanent resolution. In the interim, only expensive "band-aid" fixes could be implemented. These would result in only partial mitigation of the problem, and are prone to upsets. Indeed, the temporary Gold King treatment plant alone, ignoring all of the other issues in the Cement Creek drainage would likely cost \$6 million - \$8 million or more by the time a permanent fix could be implemented under CERCLA based on EPA published figures. This coupled with the loss of the Kinross contribution of \$10 million would likely pay for half of the total cost to permanently deal with ALL of the pollutants being emitted by Cement Creek drainage into the Upper Animas River.

It is conceivable that work on the permanent fix could be initiated by as early as summer 2016 following a detailed feasibility study if the study could be initiated within the next month or two, and if funding sources can be confirmed. We are continuing to work with all parties at interest to see if a more expedient way forward can be found which does not involve a CERCLA designation, as it is deemed too time consuming, would result in a loss of the Kinross contribution, and is not the preferred route by many.

Let me know if you have any questions. I am in Durango this week (I live here). I can be reached on my mobile or by e-mail.

Best,

Gregory B. Sparks, P.Eng., Q.P.

Managing Director - Metals

John T. Boyd Company

Dominion Plaza, Suite 710S

600 17th St

Denver, CO 80202-5404

Office: 1 (303) 293-8988

Mobile: 1 (970) 749-5583 Skype: gregsparkspe

e-mail: g-sparks@jtboyd.com

www.jtboyd.com



Before printing think about the Environment

<Key influencers presentation Oct 2015.pdf>